Valles Marineris landslides: Evidence for a strength limit to Martian relief?
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Abstract

Unresolved controversies in Martian geology surround the role of active tectonics and a wetter climate early in Mars history, and particularly the history and amount of liquid water at or near the surface. Among the various lines of evidence brought into such debates are the massive landslides along the walls of Valles Marineris, which generally have been interpreted as resulting from marsquakes, and therefore necessitating active tectonics, under either wet or dry conditions. We analyze Valles Marineris landslides using digital elevation data from the Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter (MOLA) and find that a relief limit consistent with the intact strength of evaporites or other weak sedimentary rock defines an upper bound to the length and relief of unfailed slopes, as would material with the strength properties of basalt lithology subjected to ground accelerations of about 0.2 g. In contrast to prior interpretations of Valles Marineris landslides, we propose an alternative, complementary hypothesis that does not require significant pore-water pressures or ground acceleration based on the close correspondence between back-calculated material strength properties and values consistent with portions of the chasm walls at least locally being composed of relatively weak materials, such as potentially frozen evaporites and/or mixtures of ash fall or flow deposits, ice, hydrated salts and lava flows. © 2007 Published by Elsevier B.V.
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1. Introduction

Large landslides have been observed on Mars since the Mariner 9 and Viking missions returned images of extraordinary slope failures associated with the deep canyons of Valles Marineris (Sharp, 1973; Christiansen and Head, 1978). Subsequent studies generally invoked marsquakes as the triggering agent for gigantic debris slides and flows and explained the occurrence, morphology, and runout of these landslides by the presence of altered rock containing water or ice (Lucchitta, 1978, 1979, 1987; McEwen, 1989; Schultz, 2002; Soukhovitskaya and Manga, 2006). Ongoing debate about the stratigraphy of Valles Marineris centers on whether the valley walls are composed of stacked lava flows (McEwen et al., 1999; Schultz, 2002; Curasso, 2002) or relatively weak ash fall deposits potentially interstratified with evaporites and overlain by lava flows (Blaney and McChord, 1995; Forsythe and Zimbelman, 1995; Malin and Edgett, 2000; Feldman et al., 2004; Montgomery and Gillespie, 2005). Previous applications
of a rock-mass rating system to measurements from MOLA-derived profiles of unfailed slopes were interpreted as showing that lava flows exposed in wallrock of Valles Marineris are stronger than the interior layered deposits (Schultz, 2002), which now have been spectrally determined to contain hydrated sulfates (Gendrin et al., 2005).

For terrestrial slopes, stability models using laboratory derived cohesion and friction angles of intact rock greatly overestimate the maximum stable relief of incised massifs because of the importance of pervasive discontinuities arising from weathering or tectonics that impart material or structural discontinuities to fieldscale rock masses (Terzaghi, 1962; Carson and Kirkby, 1972). In general, the low strength of tectonically-induced discontinuities such as faults, joints, and inclined bedding surfaces reduces the bulk strength of rock at the scale of terrestrial mountains to well below values for intact rock samples (Hoek and Bray, 1997). Although small samples used in laboratory tests possess strength properties theoretically capable of supporting cliffs many kilometers high, the strength of terrestrial slopes is scale-dependent, with small samples of intact rock exhibiting uniaxial compressive strengths of 1 to 100 MPa and large rock masses typically exhibiting aggregate compressive strengths ranging from 0.1 to 1 Mpa, with the associated cohesion (C) ranging from 0 (clay) to 0.7 MPa (schists, quartzites), and friction angles (ϕ) ranging from 4.5° (Montmorillonite clay) to 45° (granite) (Hoek and Bray, 1997).

2. Methodology

The simplest model for predicting the maximum stable height of a rock slope is the Culmann wedge model:

$$H_c = \frac{4C}{\rho g} \cdot \frac{\sin \beta \cos \theta}{[1 - \cos(\beta - \phi)]}$$

where $H_c$ is the maximum limiting, or critical height, of the slope, $\beta$ is the hillslope gradient, $C$ is the cohesion, $\rho$ is the bulk density, $g$ is the gravitational acceleration, and $\phi$ is the friction angle of the slope-forming material. Eq. (1) neglects, but can be modified to incorporate either pore-water pressure (Hoek and Bray, 1997) or seismic acceleration (Schmidt and Montgomery, 1995), which means that observed heights and slopes of...
unfailed and failed hillslopes provide minimum constraints on back-calculated strength parameters, or the ground acceleration required to destabilize a rock slope of known material strength. More sophisticated models involving rotational failure geometries require additional assumptions about unobservable subsurface conditions. Although this limits the utility of the Culmann model for engineering purposes, it has been widely used in geomorphological studies to empirically constrain outcrop and landscape scale ranges of effective bulk-scale $C$ and $\phi$ values for use in predicting the maximum unfailed height of slopes ranging in scale from stream banks and gully heads (Skempton, 1953; Lohnes and Handy, 1968) to the relief of terrestrial mountains (Schmidt and Montgomery, 1995). Using Eq. (1), measurements of the slope and height (relief) of both unfailed and failed valley walls can bracket minimum and maximum estimates of the calibrated bedrock material strength, and thereby define a maximum stable relief (MSR) uniquely proscribed by particular combinations of back-calculated $C$ and $\phi$ that incorporate both scaling effects and assumptions inherent to the model.

Despite its simplistic geometry, Schmidt and Montgomery (1995) found back-calculated $C$ and $\phi$ values for an empirical MSR fit to data from large landslides in the Northern Cascade Range and the Santa Cruz Mountains to be close to those measured in situ on outcrop-scale planes of weakness and discontinuities—but dramatically lower than for laboratory-derived values from small intact rock samples. As the landslides mapped by Schmidt and Montgomery (1995) included large rotational slumps, the close correspondence between back-calculated and measured $C$ and $\phi$ values they reported indicates that the simple Culmann model can provide a useful approximation of more complex conditions. Subsequently, a number of empirical and theoretical studies provided evidence for the development of threshold slopes that limit the relief of terrestrial hillslopes and valley walls (Burbank et al., 1996; Roering et al., 1999; Montgomery, 2001; Montgomery and Brandon, 2002).

We analyzed 36 large landslides throughout Valles Marineris using MOLA digital elevation data (Fig. 1). These mapped landslides account for all identifiable landslides in the study area that we found resolvable on the MOLA digital elevation data (0.45 km/pixel) (Smith et al., 2001), including the subset of those mapped previously by Quantin et al. (2004) that are in the study area. Analysis of finer-scale Mars Orbiter Camera (MOC; ≥ 2 m/pixel resolution) and the Mars Express High-Resolution Stereo Camera (HRSC; ≥ 10 m/pixel resolution) images (Smith et al., 2001; Malin and Edgett, 2001; Christensen et al., 2004) reveals additional smaller landslides not resolvable by the MOLA digital elevation data, and thus not analyzed here.

We constructed 64 distance-versus-elevation profiles from the failed slopes resolvable by MOLA data in and proximal to Valles Marineris, acquiring one to four
profiles for each landslide depending on its size and geometry. Pre-failure relief was estimated from the elevation difference between the unfailed plateau surface at the head of each slide and the chasm bottom immediately beyond the bounds of the slide deposit. Pre-failure slopes were approximated from neighboring unfailed slopes where such an extrapolation reasonably could be made, and by the slope of the slide headscarp to provide a limiting upper bound where no other local constraint was justified (Fig. 2). We also obtained 101 additional profiles of unfailed slopes to estimate the relief and slope gradient for stable, unfailed valley walls. We fit an MSR limit based on Eq. (1) to both the upper envelope of the data for unfailed slopes (upper limit on Fig. 3) and the lower envelope of data for failed slopes (lower limit on Fig. 3).
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Fig. 4. Illustration of the method for determining the maximum stable relief (MSR) bound for the lower limit (A) for basalt and evaporite then for the upper limit (B) by calculating successively $C$ and $\phi$. Different values of $C$ are characterized by different colors with $\phi$ varying according to the $C$ value; hence each first line of each color correspond to $\phi=8^\circ$, each second line of each color correspond to $\phi=9^\circ$ and the last line of each color is $\phi=10^\circ$; note that values which reasonably separate data from stable and unstable slopes lie between $C=0.7$ and 1.5 MPa for the lower limit and between $C=1.35$ and 2.3 MPa for the upper limit with $\phi=8–10^\circ$. 

3. Results

In general, the initial (i.e., pre-failure) slope of a site of a given height is steeper than stable slopes of comparable height (Fig. 3). Unfailed hillslopes with gradients between 15 and 20° reach more than 10-km height, but few hillslopes with gradients >32° rise more than 1 km. As the boundary between data from unfailed and failed slopes in Fig. 3 is transitional, the two MSR curves that define the upper and lower limits on Fig. 3 bracket the range of back calculated $C$ and $\phi$ values that are consistent with an arcuate upper bound characterizing the maximum unfailed topographic relief in Valles Marineris. As the back-calculated values of $C$ depend on the bulk density of the slope-forming material, we used end-member values to constrain back-calculated material strength properties for each curve based on the density of either basalt ($\rho=3300$ kg m$^{-3}$) or gypsum ($\rho=2300$ kg m$^{-3}$). The MSR fit to the two curves by successive approximations yields strength values ranging from $C=0.7$ to 2.3 MPa and with $\phi=8–10^\circ$ (Fig. 4). Inclusion of seismic acceleration in the analysis reveals that a comparable MSR can be fit to the same data using either the density of gypsum or the density of basalt with a 0.2 g acceleration (Fig. 5). Hence, either low density or a modest acceleration produce similar fits to the lower bound of the MSR.

Many of the topographic profiles through landslides show evidence for a complex morphology indicative of an initial translational or slump failure and a longer run out zone composed of apparently fluidized material originating in the initial slump zone. In addition, such profiles often exhibit a distinct break in slope coincident with the landslide headscarp (Fig. 6), suggesting that initial failures involved the lower portions of the exposed valley wall.

4. Discussion

There is a substantial difference between material properties back-calculated for the walls of Valles Marineris and those for terrestrial slopes, where large-scale back-calculated cohesion values are on the order of 26–150 kPa (Schmidt and Montgomery, 1995), one to two orders of magnitude smaller than similarly back-calculated values for Valles Marineris (0.9–2.1 MPa). This could reflect greater innate strength of the Martian slope-forming material due, for example, to fewer material or structural discontinuities. This interpretation is supported by similarity between back-calculated cohesion on the order of MPa for the immense slopes of Valles Marineris and uncalibrated laboratory values for intact samples of weak sedimentary rock (Hoek and Bray, 1997).

In contrast, the low back-calculated $\phi$ values indicated by our analysis for Valles Marineris are roughly half the lowest values back-calculated from large-scale terrestrial applications of Eq. (1) (Schmidt and Montgomery, 1995). Moreover, they are well below the typical limiting gradient (and hence friction angle) of 30–35° for terrestrial relief (Montgomery, 2001; Montgomery and Brandon, 2002). However, the unusually low back-calculated $\phi$ values lie within the 5–18° range of friction angles estimated by Schultz (2002) for the interior layered deposits that outcrop in Valles Marineris, which others (Malin and Edgett, 2000;
Montgomery and Gillespie, 2005) have argued represent exhumed deposits that, at least locally, compose a portion of the stratigraphy exposed in the walls of Valles Marineris. Schultz (2002) also coupled measurements from MOLA and a Rock Mass Rating system (RMR) to compare the RMR values from Earth to those obtained for Valles Marineris and interpreted that the walls of Valles Marineris were composed of fractured basaltic rock, a conclusion consistent with the global basaltic compositions of Mars obtained by spectral observations from the Thermal Emission Spectrometer (Bandfield et al., 2000).

Our analysis shows that an MSR can be fit to the observed data using either low rock strength or modest ground acceleration, which could potentially result from impact events after formation of Valles Marineris. Hence, while it is likely that marsquakes could impact slope stability in Valles Marineris, as already demonstrated by others (Schultz, 2002; Neuffer and Schultz, 2006), such events would not be required to explain failure of chasm walls of low rock strength.

This view is supported at another scale by Gendrin et al.'s (2005) report of spectral evidence for sulfate salts—gypsum and kieserite—associated with light-toned layered deposits in Valles Marineris. Malin and Edgett (2001) interpreted such deposits in Valles Marineris as ancient sedimentary rocks that, at least locally, underlay the surface-forming lava flows that at least in places appear to form the entire section from chasm top to bottom (McEwen et al., 1999). Although many workers subscribe to the alternative view that the interior layered deposits formed within Valles Marineris, and were thus

Fig. 7. Themis images showing evidence of stratigraphic variability consistent with different relative vertical positions of a potentially weaker formation exposed in the wall of Valles Marineris beneath the planum-forming lava flows. Full images shown on left; white boxes indicate areas shown as enlargements on right. Thick white lines in images on left indicate approximate locations of stratigraphic contacts. Slant distance on full images is 400 km; pixel resolution is 18 m. (A) V10052002 showing a stable slope in west Candor Chasma that reaches from the top of the planum in the lower left of enlargement to the Chasma floor in the center of the enlargement. Note the continuous exposure of wall-forming materials down the slope and the transition from the steep, dark upper wall to the light-toned layered outcrops on the lowest portions of the slope. (B) V11225001 showing evidence for a lithologic contact in the wall of Ophir Chasma running from upper left to lower right of enlargement. Rocky, ridge-forming material extends from planum surface to the contact partway down both the failed (left) side of image, where contact is higher in the section, and unfailed (right) portions of the slope, where the contact is lower on the slope. In particular, note how both failed and unfailed slopes are smoother below the contact. (C) V15231003 showing wall of Melas Chasma from planum surface to the chasma floor. Note that the upper portions of the chasm wall are composed of ridge-forming material that gives way to light-toned layered outcrops in the lower valley wall. (D) V14894002 showing rocky ridge-forming material extending most of the way down a stable slope on the wall of Ophir Chasma and potential contact between ridge-forming material and smoother material near the base of the wall section.
deposited after rather than before incision of the chasm, Montgomery and Gillespie (2005) and Catling et al. (2006) recently provided further evidence that light-toned layered deposits outcrop in the walls of Valles Marineris and Juventae Chasma. It is possible that thick accumulations of hydrated salts originally deposited in Noachian craters and basins, such as those examined by the Mars Rover Spirit at Gusev Crater (Squyres et al., 2004), were buried by the Hesperian lava flows that cap the section at Valles Marineris. If now exposed in the walls of the chasm, such laterally unconfined exposures of salts, or frozen mixtures of salt and ice, could result in ductile flow such as observed in terrestrial salt glaciers (Talbot and Rogers, 1980; Jackson and Talbot, 1986) and eventually trigger slope instability, particularly if loaded from overlying lava flows and exposed along unconfined faces in valley walls. Consequently, and whatever the age of this weak materials, we hypothesize that many of the spectacular failures of the walls of Valles Marineris are related to exposure of relatively weak materials in the valley walls and we further suggest that the low $\phi$ back-calculated from failed slopes could reflect the presence of weak materials within the local stratigraphy of the failed slopes, such as the light-toned layered deposits previously interpreted to outcrop in the valley-walls (Malin and Edgett, 2000; Montgomery and Gillespie, 2005; Catling et al., 2006) Examination of additional Themis images of stable and failed slopes around Valles Marineris revealed evidence for spatial variability in the proportion of the relief of the valley walls composed of potentially weaker materials (Fig. 7), which may help to explain the anomalously low back-calculated friction angles found in our analysis of failed slopes.

Our analysis does not refute the hypothesis that pore-water pressures contributed to instability of the valley walls, although the presence of water as a liquid phase would promote slope instability and should lead to lower effective $C$ values more like those back-calculated for Earth than those we find for Mars. Neither does our analysis rule out a role for active tectonics nor continued cratering after incision of Valles Marineris in triggering slope instability. However, the apparent role of material strength on limiting the unfailed relief along Valles Marineris challenges the basis for inferences based on past interpretations that large Martian landslides necessarily record a history of either wetter conditions or active seismicity. Although marsquakes would be an effective mechanism to destabilize steep slopes, our results show that the chasmata of Valles Marineris are already incised to close to the height potentially supportable by relatively weak deposits, such as the evaporites for which there are independent reasons to suspect may characterize at least local portions of the regional stratigraphy.

Still, the extreme view of triggering slope failure simply through incision of Valles Marineris would imply that the landslides formed soon after incision of the chasm, roughly 3.5 billion years ago. Recently Quantin et al. (2004) interpreted the slides to be an ongoing process based on crater-count estimated ages of slides in and around Valles Marineris that ranged from 60 million to 3.5 billion years, essentially the full time span since the formation of the chasm. Ground acceleration due to proximal impact events could provide a mechanism for triggering landslides long after chasm formation. However, if slides are composed of mechanically weak rock subjected to sand-charged winds, such as would be expected within Valles Marineris, it would challenge the implicit assumption of no erosion on cratered surfaces, making inferred crater-count ages date an evolving surface age, and therefore constrain the erosion rate rather than the formation age.

Following Soukhovitskaya and Manga (2006), we also interpret evidence for fluidized flow in the slide runout areas as not requiring the influence of initially high pore-water pressures in the valley walls. Instead we consider the apparent fluidity of the distal ends of large slumps of Valles Marineris (Lucchitta, 1978, 1979, 1987) as more likely due to frictional heating that either melts entrained ice (Harrison and Grimm, 2003) or dewatered evaporites (Montgomery and Gillespie, 2005) during failure and runout of the landslides. Although our analysis sheds no light on the problem of how the chasmata were carved in the first place, we conclude that the immense landslides of Valles Marineris do not provide compelling a priori evidence of either active tectonics or warm/wet conditions in the Martian past, as they previously have been interpreted as doing. Instead, we propose the alternative, although not mutually exclusive, hypothesis that many of these gigantic landslides reflect exposure of unusually weak materials in the valley walls. We further suggest that the local presence or absence of this weak material and the relative elevation to which it crops out on the valley walls (i.e., whether the contact is closer to the top or the bottom) may exert a profound local influence on the stability of the chasmata walls.
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