Sediment yield exceeds sediment production in arid region drainage basins

Erik M. Clapp*
Paul R. Bierman
School of Natural Resources and Department of Geology, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05401, USA
Asher P. Schick
Judith Lekach
Yehouda Enzel
Department of Geography, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel
Marc Caffee
Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94551, USA

ABSTRACT
We use $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al to determine long-term sediment generation rates, identify significant sediment sources, and test for landscape steady state in Nahal Yael, an extensively studied, hyperarid drainage basin in southern Israel. Comparing a 33 yr sediment budget with 33 paired $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al analyses indicates that short-term sediment yield ($113$–$138$ t $\cdot$ km$^{-2} \cdot$ yr$^{-1}$) exceeds long-term sediment production ($74 \pm 16$ t $\cdot$ km$^{-2} \cdot$ yr$^{-1}$) by $53$%–$86$%. The difference suggests that the basin is not in steady state, but is currently evacuating sediment accumulated during periods of more rapid sediment generation and lower sediment yield. Nuclide data indicate that (1) sediment leaving the basin is derived primarily from hillslope colluvium, (2) bedrock weathers more rapidly beneath a cover of colluvium than when exposed, and (3) long-term erosion rates of granite, schist, and amphibolite are similar.
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INTRODUCTION
Quantifying the rate at which rock erodes and sediment is produced is fundamental to understanding Earth as a system. Rates of sediment production (rock erosion) are typically inferred from estimates of sediment yield either measured as a flux of sediment past a gauging station or determined by measuring the accumulation of sediment in a reservoir (Schumm, 1963; Judson and Ritter, 1964; Meade, 1969; Trimble, 1977; Saunders and Young, 1983; Schick and Lekach, 1993). Equating sediment yield and sediment production implies steady-state behavior and assumes no change in the volume of sediment stored within a basin, an assumption repeatedly questioned (Meade, 1969; Trimble, 1977, 1999; Walling, 1983; Bull, 1991).

We compare 33 yr of sediment yield data from Nahal Yael to long-term, time-integrated rates of sediment generation determined by measuring in situ produced cosmogenic $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al. Significant differences between rates of sediment generation and sediment yield indicate that Nahal Yael, an intensively instrumented, hyperarid basin in southern Israel (Schick and Lekach, 1993), is currently exporting more sediment than is being generated by the weathering of bedrock. These data and others (Trimble, 1977; Brown et al., 1995; Clapp et al., 1997) suggest that over human time scales, balanced sediment production and yield may be the exception rather than the rule.

BACKGROUND
Nahal Yael occupies a small (0.6 km$^2$), mountainous drainage basin in the Negev Desert, Israel (Figs. 1 and 2). The basin is underlain by Precambrian rock (Shimron, 1974; Schick and Lekach, 1993), gneissic granite in the north, schist in the middle, and amphibolite to the south (Fig. 2). In the northern (granite) and middle (schist) sections, exposed bedrock dominates the uplands; significant colluvial cover is limited to bedrock hollows and the lowermost parts of hillslopes (Fig. 1). Sediment storage within these sections is confined to isolated colluvial deposits and alluvial terraces (generally <3 m thick) along the narrow valley bottom (Fig. A$^1$). In contrast, hillslopes in the southern section (amphibolite) have bedrock exposed on the top 10–20 m and substantial colluvial cover over the lower hillslopes.
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Figure 1. Upstream view of Nahal Yael drainage basin from near sample site NY7 (see Fig. 2). Field of view is 600 m.

Data Repository item 2000104 contains additional material related to this article.

Geology; November 2000; v. 28; no. 11; p. 995–998; 4 figures; 1 table.
In 1967, Hebrew University researchers (Bull and Schick, 1979; Schick and Lekach, 1993) began constructing a sediment budget for Nahal Yael using automatically collected hydrologic and suspended-sediment data, estimates of bedload yield from scour chains and pebble tracing, and surveys of sediment deposition behind an earthen dam constructed in 1977 to trap and monitor sediment yields with nearly 100% efficiency (Schick and Lekach, 1993). Over the 33 yr monitoring history, 14 yr had no flow (Schick and Lekach, 1993). In October 1997, a storm with an estimated recurrence interval >50 yr delivered >460 t of sediment to the mouth of the basin. The 33 yr record, including the large storm of 1997 and the 14 yr without flow, was divided into three grain-size fractions; we find no relationship between nuclide concentration and sediment grain size (Fig. B; see footnote 1). Laboratory methods are described in Appendix A (see footnote 1). Individual nuclide measurements discussed in the text include an analytical error of 1 σ.

We sampled channel alluvium at four locations along Nahal Yael (Fig. 2), using the channel as an integrator of different sediment sources and associated 10Be and 26Al from throughout the drainage basin. As flow within the channel travels down the basin, sediment from terraces and tributaries along the channel’s length is entrained and mixed. As the channel cuts through alluvial deposits, it temporally integrates sediment deposited by many different depositional events.

Bedrock outcrops were sampled in three lithologically distinct transects (Fig. 2). Within the granitic terrain, where quartz is uniformly distributed throughout the bedrock, three samples were collected from a single hillslope at evenly spaced, 20 m elevation intervals. Within the schist terrain, where quartz is concentrated in crosscutting veins that are no more or less resistant to weathering than the surrounding rock, we collected three samples from the quartz veins, keeping as close to 20 m elevation spacing as possible. In the amphibolite terrain, quartz is also concentrated in veins; however, shorter hillslopes and fewer quartz veins limited us to only two samples ~20 m apart in elevation.

Three composite samples of hillslope colluvium, each composed of samples taken at ~1 m intervals across the base of the slope but above any channel-derived sediment, were collected from hillslopes below the three bedrock transects (Fig. 2). Two composite samples of alluvial terrace sediment were collected by mixing subsamples collected at evenly spaced depth increments (~10 cm) in the alluvium exposed by channel incision. Each sediment sample was divided into three grain-size fractions; we find no relationship between nuclide concentration and sediment grain size (Fig. B; see footnote 1).

Measured 26Al/10Be ratios (μ = 5.9 ± 0.48) (Fig. 3, inset) are consistent with the currently accepted production ratio of ~6:1 (Nishizumi et al., 1989), indicating that the sediment and bedrock we sampled do not have long-term (>100 k.y.), complex histories of burial and exhumation. Because the two isotopes are well correlated (r² = 0.95), we present primarily the 10Be measurements; however, the 26Al measurements are used in all calculations (Table 1).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Bedrock Erosion and Sediment Generation**

We use nuclide concentrations in geomorphic features to identify significant sources of sediment to the channel and compare relative rates of...
processes shaping desert environments. Average $^{10}$Be concentrations in bedrock outcrops ($2.18 \pm 0.31 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$, $n = 8$) are higher than those in hillslope colluvium ($1.54 \pm 0.30 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$, $n = 3$), suggesting that exposed bedrock weathers more slowly (more nuclide accumulation) than bedrock beneath a colluvial cover (Fig. 3). These observations are consistent with previous cosmogenic measurements (Bierman, 1994; Clapp et al., 1997, 1998; Small et al., 1999).

Lower average nuclide concentrations in colluvium could result from cosmic-ray shielding (less exposure) by material now eroded. However, the $6.3 \times 10^4$ atoms·g$^{-1}$ difference between exposed bedrock and colluvium would require shielding by colluvium deeper than 50 cm, far thicker than we observed on the steep slopes of Nahal Yael. Most likely, the nuclide abundance difference can be attributed to shielding beneath shallow (centimeters to decimeters) colluvium coupled with associated increases in both physical and chemical weathering, the result of increased water retention and moisture-bedrock contact time beneath a cover of colluvium (Bull, 1991; Small et al., 1999).

Nuclide concentrations, and thus erosion rates, are not statistically discernible between the three lithologies (Fig. 4). Nuclide concentrations measured in the granitic transect, where quartz is uniformly distributed, imply a positive relationship between elevation above the stream channel and nuclide concentration (Fig. 4, inset), perhaps reflecting a period of time when the lower granitic hillslopes held a cover of colluvium consistent with suggestions of a late Pleistocene–early Holocene stripping of hillslope colluvium (Bull and Schick, 1979; Bull, 1991). Schist and amphibolite transects show no significant relationship between nuclide abundance and elevation.

Dynamics of Sediment Production and Transport

Nuclide data allow us to fingerprint sediment sources and suggest that most sediment in Nahal Yael is supplied by the middle and lower parts of the basin; the upper part of the Nahal Yael basin has more stable colluvial cover and contributes less sediment to the channel. The most important sediment source is colluvium stored in hollows and at the bottom of the slopes. Other sediment sources (exposed bedrock and terraces) contribute significantly less sediment.

Upper Basin. In the upper amphibolitic basin, nuclide concentrations in widespread hillslope colluvium ($2.16 \pm 0.06 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$, NY15) are higher than those measured in colluvium of the lower (granite and schist) parts of the basin ($1.21 \pm 0.02$ to $1.28 \pm 0.02 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$, NY12 and NY18, respectively; Fig. 4). Average nuclide concentrations from the upper bedrock basin ($2.33 \pm 0.50 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$, NY13 and NY14) are only slightly greater than in the upper basin colluvium ($2.16 \pm 0.06 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$, NY15), indicating that exposed bedrock may be a significant source of sediment to the upper basin hillslopes. The nuclide concentration ($1.42 \pm 0.09 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$), measured in channel alluvium exiting the upper part of the basin (NY18), is greater than concentrations measured lower in the basin ($1.22 \pm 0.04$ to $1.32 \pm 0.02 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$, NY20 and NY4, respectively), consistent with longer colluvial residence time in the upper basin.

Middle Basin. In the middle basin, sediment is currently stored along the valley bottom and to a lesser degree on the lower hillslopes in discontinuous alluvial terraces shown to be Pleistocene in age by optically stimulated luminescence dating (Lekach et al., 1999). Consistent with these observations, nuclide data (Fig. 4) suggest that there is some storage of sediment in alluvial terraces, because nuclide concentrations in these terraces ($1.45 \pm 0.11$ and $1.66 \pm 0.06 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$, NY16 and NY17, respectively) are slightly greater than in samples from the sediment-supplying hillslopes above ($1.21 \pm 0.02 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$, NY12). The difference between NY17 (terrace) and NY12 (colluvium) is significant at the 2σ level, and the difference between NY16 (terrace) and NY12 (colluvium) is significant at 1σ but not 2σ. The nuclide concentration of the channel alluvium ($1.24 \pm 0.04 \times 10^5$ atoms·g$^{-1}$, NY19) is similar to the
hillslope samples, but less than terrace samples, suggesting that hillslopes supply more sediment to the channel than alluvial terraces.

The difference in concentrations between the colluvium and the terrace sediment (~3.0 × 10^4 atoms·g⁻¹) may reflect cosmic-ray dosing of terrace alluvium either prior to or following deposition. If we assume that the terraces were deposited rapidly at some point in the past, and integrate nuclide production (5.77 atoms of ¹⁰Be·g⁻¹·yr⁻¹) over the average terrace depth (~2 m), assuming sediment density of 1.6 g·cm⁻³, we calculate rapid deposition of alluvial terraces ca. 11 ka, consistent with the hypothesized late Pleistocene–early Holocene stripping of hillslopes in response to climate change (Bull and Schick, 1979; Bull, 1991). Alternatively, the alluvial terraces could have been deposited steadily, during which time nuclide accumulation continually occurred (Clapp et al., 1997). Steady-state deposition at ~125 m·y⁻¹ over ~16 k.y. would account for the additional ~3.0 × 10^4 atoms·g⁻¹ measured in the ~2 m of alluvium.

**Lower Basin.** In the lower basin, colluvium resides only in hollows and isolated, thin deposits at the base of the slopes, suggesting minimal storage and short residence time. Consistent with short, near-surface residence, and isolated, thin deposits at the base of the slopes, suggesting minimal storage and isolation, and near-surface residence, the average nuclide concentration of the hillslope colluvium in the lower basin (Fig. 4) is low (1.28 ± 0.02 × 10⁵ atoms·g⁻¹, NY8). Channel alluvium (1.25 ± 0.03 × 10⁵ atoms·g⁻¹, average of NY4 and NY20) and hillslope colluvium (NY8) nuclide concentrations are similar, suggesting that in the lower basin hillslopes supply most sediment to the channel of Nahal Yael.

**Sediment Production Versus Sediment Yield**

From nuclide concentrations measured in the channel sediment at the outlet of Nahal Yael (NY4 and NY20), we estimate (using Bierman and Steig [1996] and nuclide production rate estimates of Nishiizumi et al., 1989) a maximum, limiting, basin-wide sediment generation rate of 74 ± 16 t·km⁻²·yr⁻¹, consistent with rates determined for other regions using similar methods (Table 1). This is likely an overestimate, because recent work suggests that long-term production rates of ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al are 10%–15% lower (Clark et al., 1995).

Sediment yield from Nahal Yael (113–138 t·km⁻²·yr⁻¹), calculated from the sediment budget, is at least 53%–86% greater than the long-term rate of sediment generation estimated using ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al (Table 1). The difference between sediment yield and generation rates, along with the isotopic data, suggest that sediment is being mined from colluvium stored during a period when sediment generation outpaced sediment yield. Two of three similar studies elsewhere (Table 1) also suggest that current sediment yields exceed long-term rates of sediment generation (Brown et al., 1995; Granger et al., 1996; Clapp et al., 1997). These data show that the assumption of short-term landscape steady state is likely invalid. Episodic periods of sediment aggradation are followed by downcutting and sediment evacuation, possibly resulting from changes in climate or land use (Bull and Schick, 1979; Bull, 1991).

The measured differences between rates of sediment generation and sediment yield illustrate the danger of using short-term sediment yields to estimate long-term, basin-wide rates of bedrock erosion. Cosmogenic nuclides can provide direct estimates of long-term, basin-scale sediment generation rates and fingerprint significant sediment storage and source areas within drainage basins. These nuclides are invaluable in quantitatively addressing fundamental questions in arid-region geomorphology and may be used to identify temporal changes in sediment generation.
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