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Abstract

We propose that most fault slip rate variability across a range of time and spatial scales is due to intrinsic faulting processes, rather than extrinsic changes in surface loads or stress boundary conditions. This hypothesis is tested by comparing very high geologic resolution slip histories of blind thrust faults from three transects in the Northern Apennines, Italy. We investigated whether these slip histories document synchronous, or independent, behavior of the disconnected, blind thrust faults that core mountain front anticlines bordering the Po foreland. The slip history for these thrusts is reconstructed by applying forward structural modeling to deformed growth strata and fluvial terraces preserved on the limbs of the growing anticlines. We present a new age model using magnetostratigraphy and cyclostratigraphy for a section of growth strata exposed in the Panaro River and supplement this with age models for two other published transects. The blind thrust fault at each transect exhibits variable slip behavior over the past 3 Myr, but for most of that time the variability was both asynchronous and independent of boundary condition changes, such as Plio-Pleistocene sediment accumulation variability. However, a major deceleration in slip rates at all three locations is temporally coincident with the overfilling of the Po foreland beginning in the early Pleistocene. We attribute the deceleration to a switch from shortening on shallowly detached thrusts to shortening on a crustal-scale basement-involved fault. This switch has implications for the time and spatial scales at which extrinsic boundary conditions may contribute to deformation variability.

1. Introduction

It is now recognized that faults commonly exhibit both unsteady and nonuniform slip behavior across a range of space and time scales (collectively referred to as variable slip behavior; Dolan et al., 2016; Dolan & Meade, 2017; Gold & Cowgill, 2011; Gold et al., 2017). This variability has been observed in all tectonic settings in both field and model studies (Cowie et al., 1993, 2012; Friedrich et al., 2003; Rogers & Dragert, 2003; Mouslopoulou et al., 2009; Naylor & Sinclair, 2007; Saint-Charlier et al., 2016). Compilations of long-term, high-resolution deformation records reveal the characteristic time scales of fault slip rate variability, but there is still no clear theoretical framework that explains the controlling mechanisms or apportions the relative influence of intrinsic processes, such as fault mechanical behavior, versus extrinsic forcing, such as tectonic or climatic surface loads changing the stress boundary conditions (Dolan et al., 2016). Understanding the underlying processes of fault slip rate variability is important because, among other reasons, earthquake hazard assessments that rely on the slip predictable or time predictable models of fault behavior assume that fault slip rates should be constant (steady and uniform) when averaged over multiple seismic cycles (Wallace, 1987).

The observed slip rate variability over intermediate (104–106 years) time scales that span multiple seismic cycles and link instrumental to geologic observations leads to interesting questions regarding intrinsic process versus extrinsic forcing as modulators for the observed fault slip rate variability. For instance, if slip rates are variable over 104–106 year time scales does this signify similar extrinsic temporal changes in the fault’s boundary conditions responding to far-field tectonic forcing or climate-driven changes in erosion and deposition of an orogenic wedge? Alternatively, if it is assumed that boundary conditions remain constant over these time spans, does the presence of slip rate variability imply that these variations are driven by processes intrinsic to the fault network, such as strain hardening as a fault elongates, the evolutionary focusing of displacement on the structurally mature fault plane (Dolan & Haravitch, 2014), or that slip is partitioned on connected structures? Several hypotheses have emerged to explain these possible behaviors. One is that a tectonic megaoscillator (Davis et al., 2006) modulates crustal-scale energy transfer through the interaction of stresses induced by surface waves and magma or groundwater in the crust (Brodsky et al., 2003) or from large-scale stress diffusion in the mantle following clusters of great earthquakes (Pollitz et al., 1998). A logical and intriguing extension of the megaoscillator idea is that surface processes, such as climate change,
can also drive variations in groundwater flow or the distribution of mass at the surface and thus modulate stress changes (Chéry & Vernant, 2006; Hampel et al., 2010; Hetzel & Hampel, 2005). Similarly, at the short time scales represented by episodic slip and tremor events, a resonance response to environmentally driven stress perturbations has been invoked to explain the periodic behavior of these events at diverse locations and why slip is focused near the base of the seismogenic zone (Lowry, 2006). At longer time scales, field studies and models have demonstrated that surface processes redistribute mass at the Earth’s surface, which can modify the stress state on faults and thereby influence the rates and locus of deformation in orogenic wedges (Simpson, 2006).

Here we present the results of a natural experiment that provides insight into how intrinsic fault processes and extrinsic surface loads conspire to drive variable fault slip at $10^4$–$10^6$ year time scales, expressed as the duration, timing, and growth rate on three disconnected, strike-parallel, blind thrust cored anticlines at the northern Apennine mountain front. We determined slip rates for these faults using passive stratigraphic and geomorphic markers such as growth strata and fluvial terraces. These markers provide records of fold growth and kinematics (Gunderson et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2009), which were inverted for slip on the underlying faults using kinematic modeling techniques. The natural experiment presented here overcomes the problems of resolution and record length that limit Global Positioning System (GPS) and paleoseismic approaches and allows us to compare the overlapping deformational records for disconnected structures that are subject to the same regional boundary conditions. Our approach allows us to test if the observed deformational variability has a periodicity in phase with known extrinsic surface load forcings, like erosion and sedimentation that respond to orbitally driven climatic changes (Hetzel & Hampel, 2005), or if it is entirely intrinsic to the fault system and simply the expected behavior of the common arrangement of faults in an orogenic wedge (Langer et al., 1996; Stein et al., 1994). It is important to clarify that we are not appealing to a specific geodynamic process; rather, we are envisioning the broader context of well-known individual fault dynamics and fault interactions with erosion and deposition throughout an actively deforming orogen as influencing the crustal-scale stress field to drive the slip variability on individual faults.

1.1. Geologic Setting

The Northern Apennines are an accretionary fold and thrust belt resulting from the ongoing subduction of Adria (Carminati & Doglioni, 2012). Geologic data (Cavinato & De Celles, 1999), including the total length of the subducted slab (Benoit et al., 2011), suggest that the long-term rate of subduction and migration of deformation into foreland is ~10 mm/year. GPS-geodesy (Bennett et al., 2012) and seismicity (Pondrelli et al., 2006) show that the current rate of shortening across the Apennine foreland is accommodated by structures at or near the northern Apennine mountain front at ~3 mm/year, consistent with the long-term rate of shortening in the context of the structural front migration rate (Basili & Barba, 2007), and indicates that the large-scale tectonic boundary conditions on this orogen have been steady for the past ~20 Ma. The Northern Apennines (Figure 1) consist of a series of thrust sheets, partially capped by the Ligurian structural lid, that have propagated into and imbricated the southern half of the Po foreland basin (Ricci Lucchi, 1986). The Plio-Pleistocene Po Basin stratigraphy represents the most recent of several foreland basin stratigraphic successions that stretch back to the late Eocene (Figure 1). The Po foreland records a transition from marine to terrestrial environments in the Pleistocene where the rate of sediment accumulation and flux is shown to vary with Alpine glaciations (Ghielmi et al., 2010). Both terrestrial and marine Po foreland strata display growth geometries that record the progressive deformation of Apennine structures (Argnani et al., 2003; Artoni et al., 2004).

The relief of the modern-day topographic northern Apennine mountain front results from active deformation on blind thrust anticlines that also expose proximal Plio-Pleistocene foreland dipping growth strata on the anticlinal forelimbs. We use exposures of syngrowth deposits in the Salsomaggiore, Enza, and Panaro River valleys (S, E, and P in Figure 1) associated with three different leading-edge anticlines to reconstruct fault slip along different segments of the northern Apennine mountain front (Figure 2). The mountain front at the Stirone (western) transect is underlain by the Salsomaggiore anticline (Figure 2a), a doubly plunging fault propagation fold that is cored by Miocene sandstone (Artoni et al., 2004). The progressive deformation of the mountain front here is recorded by Plio-Pleistocene growth strata (Gunderson et al., 2012) and uplifted fluvial terraces (Wilson et al., 2009) exposed in the Stirone River valley. The mountain front at the Enza (central) transect coincides with the Quattro Castella anticline (Figure 2b; Argnani et al., 2003), and deformation of the mountain front here is recorded by growth strata in the Enza River (Gunderson et al., 2014) and fluvial
terraces exposed on the anticlinal forelimb (Ponza et al., 2010). Mountain front relief at the Panaro (eastern) transect is due to deformation of the Castelvetro anticline. Growth strata exposed in the Panaro River (this study) and fluvial terraces above the Castelvetro anticline (Figure 2c; Ponza et al., 2010) record the deformational history of the mountain front at this location. The geometry of all three structures are well constrained from industry seismic data and wells (Oppo et al., 2013, 2015). A recent uplift of the entire orogenic wedge, including the fault-related folds described above, is proposed to have resulted from post-Pliocene slip on the Pedeapenninic thrust fault (PTF), which is a crustal-scale, thick-skinned fault (Boccaletti et al., 1985; Picotti & Pazzaglia, 2008).

In summary, apart from the long, continuous exposures of foreland-dipping growth strata and fluvial terraces in the river valleys, there is nothing special or different about the tectonic development of the Apennine fold and thrust belt that biases its constitutive structures toward deformational variability. Because of the proximity of the Po foreland to the Alps and its history of climate-related surface processes variability, the Apennine orogenic wedge provides a mountain belt where the influence of surface processes as an extrinsic driver of slip rate variability can be tested for both thin-skinned and thick-skinned structures in a tectonically active region.

2. Methods

2.1. Magnetostratigraphy and Cyclostratigraphy

We used progressively folded growth strata and fluvial terraces to measure the deformational history of the three blind thrust faults in this study. Robust, high-resolution age models for the Stirone section, the Enza section, and the fluvial terraces were developed in previous studies (Gunderson et al., 2012, 2014). We supplement those studies with a new age model for the Plio-Pleistocene Panaro River stratigraphic section using magnetostratigraphy and cyclostratigraphy, following the same methodology that was applied to the Stirone and Enza studies (Gunderson et al., 2012, 2014).
We collected stratigraphic, structural, rock-magnetic, and paleomagnetic data for a 538-m thick stratigraphic section deposited on the forelimb of the Castelvetro fault-related fold along the Panaro River corridor near the town of Vignola (Figure 3). The lithology was described at 1-m spacing, and bedding orientations were recorded at every paleomagnetic sample location and at any point where there was an obvious change in bedding orientation. Oriented samples were collected for paleomagnetic analysis at 52 horizons with an average stratigraphic spacing between sites of ~10 m. At least three oriented samples were collected at each horizon in plastic boxes from pedestals carved into the outcrop. We subjected 127 samples to alternating field demagnetization from 0 to 100 mT in 10-mT steps and 29 samples to thermal demagnetization from 0 to 600°C in 50°C steps. The number of samples subjected to thermal demagnetization was limited to the samples that could be removed from their plastic boxes for the progressive heating without being compromised. The characteristic remanent magnetization (ChRM) directions were determined by principal component analysis (Kirschvink, 1980). We then determined the virtual geomagnetic poles (VGPs) from the remanence directions and calculated mean horizon VGPs using Fisher statistics (Fisher, 1953). The VGPs were then used to define magnetozones of the same polarity, and each magnetozone was correlated to the geomagnetic polarity time scale of Gradstein et al. (2012). We also collected unoriented samples every 1.5 m for...
rock-magnetic cyclostratigraphy between 0 and 498 m in the Argille Azzurre Fm. and measured low-field magnetic susceptibility (χ) for each sample on a KLY-3S Kappabridge at Lehigh University. Each χ measurement was normalized by sample mass.

We used multitaper method (MTM) spectral analyses (Thompson, 1982, 1990) using the SSA-MTM software (Ghil et al., 2002) to identify significant climate-related periodicities in the absolute time-calibrated χ data series, using a steady sedimentation rate within each magnetic chron set by the reversal stratigraphy. We considered a spectral peak as significant if the power exceeded a 95% confidence interval above a robust red noise model (Mann & Lees, 1996). After identifying significant cycles at ~1/40-kyr frequency, we correlated peaks in the χ data series to the peaks in the theoretical obliquity model from Laskar et al. (2004) to refine the assigned stratigraphic ages.

2.2. Structural Modeling

We used the progressive folding of growth strata and the uplift of fluvial terraces as proxies for slip on the underlying faults at each structure. Suppe et al. (1992) demonstrated that growth strata can be used to determine fault slip in fault-related folds in many settings, and Gunderson et al. (2013) used surface measurements of growth strata from the Stirone section (Figure 2), along with geometric constraints from subsurface seismic data to model slip on the Salsomaggiore thrust fault. Gunderson et al. (2013) demonstrated that the observed surface and subsurface growth strata geometries can be reproduced by applying trishear kinematics.
Erslev, 1991) to the Salsomaggiore thrust. Additionally, the results of that study showed a strong linear relationship between the degree of limb rotation observed in the growth strata at the surface and the amount of fault slip calculated for each horizon. So even though the surface measurements of bedding dip cannot independently or uniquely resolve slip on a blind thrust, simple application of well-accepted fault-related folding kinematic models quickly reduces the degrees of freedom and allows surface measurements of growth strata to be interpreted in terms of fault slip.

We followed an approach similar to Gunderson et al. (2013) in building structural models for the Enza and Panaro sections. First, we correlated growth strata measured at the surface into the subsurface using cross sections that were constructed with publicly available seismic and well data (Figure 2; Oppo et al., 2013, 2015) to constrain the subsurface geometry and the location of the fault tips. A series of forward models were then created that modeled slip on the blind thrusts with folding occurring in the growth strata above the fault tips using trishear fault-related folding kinematics (Erslev, 1991) to replicate the interpreted surface and subsurface geometry. We systematically varied the trishear variables to find best fit values for each of the parameters, similar to the Monte Carlo approach employed by Oakley and Fisher (2015) or the grid search approach of Allmendinger (1998). Because the total amount of slip and the position of the fault tip were determined by the seismic-based cross sections, the trishear parameters were tightly constrained in this study. While we had extremely high geochronologic resolution of the growth strata afforded to us by our cyclostratigraphy, we were unable to model every single dated growth horizon because the structural resolution afforded by subsurface correlation was too coarse. Instead, we progressively modeled the deformation of major formation contacts and/or horizons dated from magnetostratigraphy and used the empirical relationship between the measured bed dip and modeled fault slip for those horizons to calculate the fault slip needed to deform horizons at higher resolution than our structural model.

Records of deformation at each of the transects for the last ~700 kyr were compiled using deformed fluvial terraces. Fluvial terraces similarly serve as passive markers of deformation and can be used to determine slip rates on blind thrust faults (e.g., Lavé & Avouac, 2001). The terraces allow us to record deformation on the same structures as the growth strata in the time since the Po Basin filled and deposition of marine growth strata ceased, albeit at a coarser resolution. In this study, we compile and compare records of river incision from terraces from studies by Wilson et al. (2009) and Ponza et al. (2010). The growth strata and the fluvial terraces records are combined to compile ~3-Myr-long records of deformation at three locations along strike (Figure 1).

3. Results
3.1. Lithostratigraphy and Magnetostratigraphy

Two major lithostratigraphic units are represented in the Panaro growth section (Figure 3). From 0 to 498 m, the section consists of homogenous, thickly bedded, blue-gray neritic clays of the Pliocene-early Pleistocene Argille Azzurre Fm. Unconformably overlying the Argille Azzurre Fm. is ~40 m of early to middle Pleistocene interbedded, cross-bedded, medium grained, littoral yellow sands and freshwater muds known of the Sabbie di Imola Fm. The Sabbie di Imola Fm. is topped by an unconformity that bounds a stratified fluvial gravel deposit that belongs to the middle to late Pleistocene Associazione Emilia-Romagna Inferiore or Superiore units (Amorosi & Pavesi, 2010). Bedding dips across the investigated interval progressively shallow, consistent with syndeformational deposition. Bedding dips are 59°NE toward the foreland at the base of the exposed section in the Argille Azzurre Fm. and progressively shallow to 21°NE toward the foreland in the Sabbie di Imola Fm. The comparative lithostratigraphy for the Stirone and Enza sections are found in Gunderson et al. (2012, 2014) respectively.

Principal component analysis successfully isolates the ChRM directions in 148 out of 156 (95%) paleomagnetic samples. Vector endpoint diagrams for representative samples are shown in Figure 4. The number of magnetic components is not consistent across all of the samples; some have a single component of magnetization, while others have multiple components (Figure 4). Thermal and AF demagnetization curves suggest the presence of both magnetite and greigite as remanence carriers, though greigite is more common. Greigite is recognized in lithologically and chronologically equivalent sections as a primary carrier of magnetic remanence (Gunderson et al., 2012; Mary et al., 1993; Sagnotti & Winkler, 1999). In many of the samples subjected to AF demagnetization, a high-coercivity overprint component of magnetization, likely also
representing greigite, is present (Figure 4); this unstable overprint component is not recognized in the thermal demagnetization samples.

The remanent directions obtained from principal component analysis are shown in Figure 5 and in Table S1 in the supporting information. There are three major groups of component directions recognized: (1) a north and down orientation interpreted to represent a primary normal polarity, (2) a south and up orientation
interpreted to represent a primary reverse polarity, and (3) a series of random oriented directions that represent a magnetization overprint. The first two directions are interpreted as the ChRM directions. The overprint component can be split into two groups; a few of the samples containing this component have a complete overprint of the primary magnetization. These samples tend to have a north and up overprint direction. But for most of the samples that have an overprint component, it is a partial overprint with random orientations that span the higher coercivity ranges (Figure 4). For the samples that are interpreted to have a primary ChRM, a reversal test shows that the north and down (first) and south and up (second) directions’ 95% confidence intervals partially overlap, indicating that these directions are roughly antipodal and that they are primary remanent directions that capture reversals of the Earth’s magnetic field. Additionally, while a true fold test cannot be performed because all of the magnetostratigraphy came from a single limb of the fold, the normal and reverse polarity samples show better clustering in their tilt-corrected directions than in their geographical directions, supporting the interpretation that the ChRM’s used in the magnetostratigraphy represent the primary remanent directions. Samples in which the ChRM remanent direction is unknown due to overprinting (i.e., north and up directions) were not used in magnetostratigraphy.

VGP latitudes were calculated for each normal and reverse polarity sample. Based on the average VGP latitude for each sample horizon, eight magnetozones and their proposed correlation to the geomagnetic polarity time scale (Gradstein et al., 2012) are defined for the Panaro section (Figure 6). Three intervals show intermediate VGP latitudes where no polarity can be conclusively defined. The correlation is anchored by the assignment of the part of the R4 magnetozone that encompasses the Sabbie di Imola to the C1r.1r (Matuyama) subchron. Magnetostratigraphy of the Enza section (Gunderson et al., 2014) indicated that Sabbie di Imola in that section spanned both the C1r.1r and C1r.1n (Jaramillo) subchron within the Matuyama chron. In contrast, for the Panaro section we interpret that the time encompassing the Jaramillo subchron within R4 is lost in the unconformity at the base of the Sabbie di Imola. Assuming this unconformity does not consume all of the time of the R4 magnetozone, and the section below the unconformity, which is all Argille Azzurre Fm, corresponds to C1r.2r subchron. This interpretation is consistent with Muttoni et al. (2011) who also interpreted an unconformity at the base of the Sabbie di Imola where the Jaramillo normal chron was also missing at the Monte Poggiolo section, east our study area at the Panaro. The normal interval N4 in the Panaro section correlates to the 2n subchron between 1.78 and 1.99 Ma (Figure 6). Accordingly, magnetozone boundaries older than N4 are correlated to subsequent geomagnetic reversals because no other unconformities are observed in that part of the section. The final correlation shows the section spans the interval from 0.9 to 3.3 Ma (Figure 6). This correlation is consistent with the age determinations for the upper Argille Azzurre and Sabbie di Imola at the nearby Enza, Stirone, Arda, and Monte Poggiolo sections (Gunderson et al., 2012, 2014; Monesi et al., 2016; Muttoni et al., 2011).

### 3.2. Cyclostratigraphy

The $\chi$ data series can be roughly separated into two sections based on the $\chi$ amplitude (Figure 7 and Table S2 in the supporting information). Between −0 and 200 m there is a very low amplitude variability in the $\chi$ data...
series. Between ~200 and 498 m, the $\chi$ data series shows large-amplitude variations that have several sharp peaks defined by a single sample, similar to what is observed in the nearby Stirone and Enza River sections (Gunderson et al., 2012, 2014). In order to investigate the $\chi$ data series for climate-related cyclicity, we performed multitaper method time series analysis. Before doing so, we rescaled the $\chi$ data series to absolute time using the Panaro magnetostratigraphy and resampled the data series at regular intervals. The resultant power spectrum shows broad peaks centered at frequencies of 1/512, 1/170, 1/44, and 1/20 kyr (Figure 8). The identification of significant obliquity (~40 kyr) and precession cycles (~20 kyr) encoded in the Argille Azzurre $\chi$ data series is consistent with the results from the Stirone and Enza river sections (Gunderson et al., 2012, 2014).

The Sabbie di Imola (~1.1–0.9 Ma) unit provides a time and rock-stratigraphic anchor that allows us to compare the new high-resolution age model for the Panaro section to the other growth sections exposed in the Stirone and Enza Rivers (Figure 9). The correlation between the three sections shows that the base of the Enza section only extends to 1.6 Ma, so we can compare deformation at all three structures only up to that point. From ~1.6 to 3.0 Ma, we have continuous records of deformation only at the Panaro and Stirone sections. Still, this provides sufficiently long, high-resolution records of fault-related folding to assess synchronous or asynchronous behavior in fault slip along strike.

### 3.3. Fault Slip Modeling

Figure 10 shows the best fit forward model results for the Enza and Panaro sections. The best fit models recreate the growth strata bedding dip measured at the surface for both sections within ±3°. In order to calculate the fault slip for dated horizons beyond the structural resolution of our forward models, we plotted the relationship between fault slip and bedding dip at the surface for our best fit models in Figure 11. We used the regression line for each structural model to transform measurements of bedding dip to fault slip for each horizon with geochronologic and surface structural control.
Using the relationship between bedding dip and fault slip, we compiled slip histories for each of the three structures (Figure 12). Over the time span for which we had outcrop geochronologic control and were able model the deformation, the Salsomaggiore, Quattro Castella, and Castelvetro thrusts accumulated 1,549, 1,265, and 1,624 m of fault slip, respectively, which is equivalent to long-term average fault slip rates of 0.5, 0.8, and 0.5 mm/year. These long-term rates are consistent with fault slip rate calculations of similar blind thrusts in the Po Plain and Northern Apennines, which typically show long-term slip rates of <1.0 mm/year (Maesano et al., 2015).

The modeled slip histories demonstrate that slip accumulation was not constant through time (Figure 12). The slip histories show that the period between 1.0 and 3.0 Ma is generally characterized by relatively long and slow periods of fault slip and associated fold growth that is then punctuated by brief, rapid periods of folding and fault slip (Figure 12). Slip rates vary by 2 orders of magnitude, the lowest slip rate for a single 40-kyr time period measured for the Castelvetro thrust was <0.1 mm/year, and the greatest slip rate for a separate 40-kyr time period, for the same structure, was 3.4 mm/year.

These records of variable folding and faulting continue between 0 and 1.0 Ma, albeit at a reduced temporal resolution as recorded by the incised fluvial terraces, which also show variable incision (rock uplift) rates at each location (Figure 13). Although long-term fault slip rates and patterns of variability in the modeled slip histories at all three locations are similar, the specific timing of changes in slip rates are asynchronous between the structures from 1.0 to 3.0 Ma. After 1.0 Ma, the deformation rates change to become more synchronous (Figure 13).

4. Discussion

The high-resolution records of deformation observed in the growth strata and fluvial terraces provide insight into the variable slip behavior of the underlying faults deforming the northern Apennine mountain front. Although sharing some similarities in approach and in part inspired by a suite of related studies in central Asia (Charreau et al., 2005, 2006, 2008, 2009; Daëron et al., 2007), this study offers higher temporal resolution for fault-related deformational variability on adjacent structures over geologic time scales. The observation that each of these structures exhibits variable slip behavior over multiple time scales confirms predictions from numeric and analog models (Cowie et al., 1993; Naylor & Sinclair, 2007).

We interpret the asynchronous deformation observed at the three structural transects between 1.0 and 3.0 Ma as indicating that the variable fault slip behavior of the faults coring the mountain front folds is not controlled by extrinsic drivers, like a change in a tectonic boundary condition or variable surface loads. Additionally, the high-resolution deformational variability recorded by both the growth strata and terraces is not temporally coincident with orbitally driven climatic changes or variable sediment accumulation rates (Figure 12; Gunderson et al., 2014), suggesting that even local variations in erosion or deposition were not modulators of the slip rate variability on individual faults. Instead, we attribute the variable slip rate behavior to intrinsic forces such as cyclic strain hardening and softening or
slip being transferred to connected structures across strike, all processes that have been proposed for faults in other orogens (Roy et al., 2016).

There is a change, however, from clearly asynchronous to more synchronous variability after 1.0 Ma (Figure 13). This change temporally coincides with regional uplift of the modern-day mountain front thought to be linked to slip on the PTF, a proposed, deep, crustal-scale blind thrust fault (Boccaletti et al., 1985; Picotti & Pazzaglia, 2008; Figure 1). We suggest that the change to a more synchronous behavior and the subaerial emergence of the Northern Apennines in the last 1.0 Ma may be driven by the switch from variable slip of three disconnected, shallow thrusts, to slip on a basement-involved thick-skinned thrust that has a much longer strike length, spanning the entire northern Apennine mountain front. Not surprisingly, this proposed basement-involved thrust also exhibits variable slip behavior as manifest by the accelerations and decelerations in river incision over the last 1.0 Ma (Figure 13; Picotti & Pazzaglia, 2008; Wilson et al., 2009).

Figure 9. Correlation of the three growth sections using the age models developed for the Stirone (Gunderson et al., 2012), the Enza (Gunderson et al., 2014), and the Panaro (this study) sections.
The variable deformation histories documented for both the shallow blind thrust cored anticlines and the deep PTF is not surprising. At a first order, a fault-related fold with rotating limbs should exhibit variable deformation behavior over its active lifespan. This is because as rocks fold, planar anisotropies such as bedding and foliation tilt, varying the angle between the maximum compressive stress relative to layering through time. Since many rocks exhibit a parabolic yield curve, the relative orientation between stress axes and layering affects fracturing, faulting, and creep (Donath, 1961; Donath & Parker, 1964; Fisher, 1990; Paterson & Weiss, 1966). During folding, for example, strain softening is followed by strain hardening as limbs rotate and folds tighten.

The deformational variability observed on the faults in this study, however, goes beyond the first-order variability that is expected due to strain hardening in a tightening fold. Each of the structures in this study exhibits multiple periods of slip acceleration and deceleration that require further consideration. For example, our data are near the Apennine structural front where greater deformation heterogeneity is expected given the relatively low confining pressures on the blind faults (e.g., Handin & Hager, 1957). Furthermore, fluid, mechanical, and fault slip processes all lead to deformation rate variability (Biemiller & Lavier, 2017; Saffer & Tobin, 2011), but determining one specific control on slip rate variability is difficult, as the evidence for specific processes controlling fault slip rates can be ambiguous. With this in mind, we discuss the following

Figure 10. Best fit forward models of deformed growth strata for the Enza and Panaro transects (Figure 2). The geometry of the growth strata in these forward models matches those observed in subsurface seismic data and published cross sections (Figure 2; Oppo et al., 2013, 2015), and the surface bedding within ±3°. The surface bedding measurements are illustrated on the cross sections by surface dip markers.
processes as potential intrinsic controls on fault slip rate variability for faults in this study. These include the following: (1) changes in fault strength due to temporally variable pore pressure (e.g., Krueger & Grant, 2011), (2) strain partitioning on adjacent connected faults (e.g., Bennett et al., 2004), and (3) lateral fault propagation and linkage with adjacent faults (e.g., McCartney & Scholz, 2016).

Because of the strong impact of pore pressure on fault strength (Hubbert & Rubey, 1959), variations in the pore pressure regime will lead to coordinated transience on slip events (Gretner, 1981). Subsurface pore pressure changes can be caused by intrinsic processes and do not necessitate external forcing. For instance, as slip accumulates on a fault, the porosity and permeability of the fault zone can either increase or decrease, depending on the lithology of the fault’s host rock (Antonellini & Aydin, 1994). This change in fault zone permeability can affect the pore pressure environment by allowing the fault to become a conduit for fluids or to create a barrier for overpressure dissipation.

The fault-related folds at the northern Apennine mountain front are cored by Miocene turbidite sandstones, which means that the thrust faults in the sandstones likely deform by cataclasis, a process that decreases fault zone porosity and permeability through time and thereby has the potential to influence pore pressure heterogeneity. Another possibility is that the natural pore pressure evolution of buried ramp thrusts leads to deformation variability. This was demonstrated by Krueger and Grant (2011) for similar tip-line folds in the Niger Delta toe-thrust belt. There it was demonstrated that as porous sandstones are progressively buried and continuously deform, a significant pressure differential builds up between the downdip backlimb syncline and the anticlinal crest, which leads to the transfer of high pore pressures updip. This pressure transfer weakens the fault zones and initiates slip failure. In the Niger Delta example, episodic pore pressure increases directly relate to periods of episodic accelerated deformation (Krueger & Grant, 2011). The presence of mud volcanoes and fluid expulsion chimneys over the crests of the Salsomaggiore, Quattro Castella, and Castelvetro anticlines (Bonini, 2007; Oppo et al., 2015) is direct evidence that significant overpressure developed in these northern Apennine structures. It is a strong possibility that variability in the pressure regime through time could be the intrinsic control on the observed slip rate variability.

Another possibility is that the variable slip behavior on individual faults in the Northern Apennines is a product of slip partitioning on connected thrusts. At much lower temporal resolution, Maesano et al. (2015) documented across-strike slip partitioning on similar blind thrust faults east of our study area in the Ferrara and Romagna Apennine thrust fronts. Similarly, correlation of the growth strata on the forelimb of the Salsomaggiore anticline onto the backlimb of the buried, foreland Cortemaggiore anticline (Figure 2) suggests that the Cortemaggiore thrust and the Salsomaggiore thrust were active contemporaneously and that Cortemaggiore was active during Salsomaggiore’s long period of quiescence between 2.0 and 2.6 Ma (Artoni et al., 2007). Since these faults share a common detachment, it is possible that regional shortening rates could have remained constant over the period of time these thrusts were active, but where the bulk of the shortening was accommodated alternated between the two structures. Unfortunately, because the Cortemaggiore anticline is currently buried under the Po Plain, there is no surface exposure of growth strata that we can use to determine a high-resolution slip history, and the resolution afforded by seismic correlation does not allow us to compare the deformational history of Salsomaggiore and Cortemaggiore at the same temporal scale.
We recognize that the slip histories we compiled in Figure 12 represent an incomplete view of the slip behavior of the entire thrust sheet because we calculate slip histories from a single transect for each fault. As faults grow and propagate laterally, there is a gradient in displacement along strike for each slip event, with a maximum displacement at the fault center that decreases toward the fault tips (Walsh & Watterson, 1989). Lateral fault growth and linkage can cause the illusion of unsteady slip behavior if the slip history is measured at a location that was once near the tip of a propagating fault that later linked with another structure to create a larger composite fault (Bergen et al., 2017; McCartney & Scholz, 2016; Mueller, 2017). However, this is an unlikely explanation for the variable slip behavior compiled for at least two of the three structures in this study. The growth sections that record the slip history for the Salsomaggiore and Quattro Castella faults are located near lateral ramps close to the eastern ends of relatively large thrust sheets. Regional cross sections do not provide strong evidence that these faults grew through significant linkage during the time span studied here (Oppo et al., 2013). Only the Castelvetro thrust (Panaro section) may be partially influenced by fault growth and linkage effects. Regional cross sections show that the thrust faults underlying the Apennine mountain front in that area tend to be smaller in length but linked (Maesano et al., 2015; Oppo et al., 2013); therefore, it is possible that a complex history of fault growth and linkage could explain the variable slip behavior there.

A question that remains unanswered is why, at the locations of this study, there was a change in deformation character in the Apennine orogen from slip primarily focused on shallow imbricate thrusts of Figure 12.

Fault slip histories for the Salsomaggiore thrust (blue), Quattro Castella thrust (red), and the Castelvetro thrust (green). Each point in the slip history represents a measurable change in bedding dip at the surface that we inverted for fault slip using the calculated bedding-fault slip relationship in Figure 11 that was generated from our forward models. The sedimentation history for the Po Plain (from Ghelmi et al., 2010) is represented by the black dashed line. The high-frequency deformation variability observed in the slip histories is asynchronous and not correlated to high-frequency climatic changes (solid black line - δ¹⁸O from Lisiecki & Raymo, 2005), suggesting that the driving force of this variability is not an extrinsic boundary condition change to the Apennine orogenic wedge. See text for further interpretation.

Figure 12. Fault slip histories for the Salsomaggiore thrust (blue), Quattro Castella thrust (red), and the Castelvetro thrust (green). Each point in the slip history represents a measurable change in bedding dip at the surface that we inverted for fault slip using the calculated bedding-fault slip relationship in Figure 11 that was generated from our forward models. The sedimentation history for the Po Plain (from Ghelmi et al., 2010) is represented by the black dashed line. The high-frequency deformation variability observed in the slip histories is asynchronous and not correlated to high-frequency climatic changes (solid black line - δ¹⁸O from Lisiecki & Raymo, 2005), suggesting that the driving force of this variability is not an extrinsic boundary condition change to the Apennine orogenic wedge. See text for further interpretation.

Figure 13. River incision for the Stirone (blue; Wilson et al., 2009), Enza (red; Ponza et al., 2010); and Panaro Rivers (green; Ponza et al., 2010). Inasmuch as incision rates are a proxy for uplift rates, they show synchronous uplift at all three transects. The change from asynchronous to synchronous uplift is related to the activation of an orogen-scale thick-skinned thrust between 1.0–1.4 Ma that is responsible for most of the recent deformation of the Apennine mountain front.

Figure 13. River incision for the Stirone (blue; Wilson et al., 2009), Enza (red; Ponza et al., 2010); and Panaro Rivers (green; Ponza et al., 2010). Inasmuch as incision rates are a proxy for uplift rates, they show synchronous uplift at all three transects. The change from asynchronous to synchronous uplift is related to the activation of an orogen-scale thick-skinned thrust between 1.0–1.4 Ma that is responsible for most of the recent deformation of the Apennine mountain front.
limited strike lengths to a basement-involved thrust spanning the length of the mountain front at \( \approx 1.0 \) Ma. We see two possible explanations for this change. The first explanation is to note that the transition from thin-skinned to thick-skinned deformation at the modern-day mountain front between \( \approx 1.0 \) and \( 1.4 \) Ma is coincident with a wedge boundary condition change that resulted in the overfilling of the Po foreland and the reduction of the orogenic wedge’s critical taper (Figure 12). This suggests that surface processes and load redistribution, as an extrinsic driver, might control the locus and rates of deformation on faults in an orogenic wedge but at only longer spatial (greater than hundreds of kilometers) and temporal (\( > 10^6 \) years) scales (Hetzel & Hampel, 2005). This explanation is supported by the documented deceleration in deformation that has occurred on the frontal thrusts of the Emilia and Ferrara arcs buried in the Po Plain (Maesano et al., 2015) and the large influx of sediment deposited on the toe of wedge in the last 1.4 Ma (Figure 12).

Another explanation for this switch is that basement-involved deformation of the wedge has always been occurring, but when the shallow thrusts in this study were most active, the basement-involved deformation occurred at a more hinterland location and its effects were not recorded by the growth strata in the Strione, Enza, or Panaro sections. This explanation implies that when the syntectonic sedimentary records show a switch from “thin-skinned” to “thick-skinned” deformation, they are illustrating the foreland propagation of the thick-skinned portion of the orogenic wedge and the associated mountain front monocline (i.e., Vann et al., 1986). This explanation can also account for the apparent slowing of the thin-skinned thrust sheets in the Po foreland, since we have already demonstrated that these structures exhibit deformational rate variability over a range of time scales. It is possible that the apparent deceleration we observe on these structures over the past 1.0 Ma is simply biased by length of record and that the shallow, disconnected thrust faults will accelerate again in the future.

5. Conclusions

We have compiled a novel data set that reveals the underlying slip behavior of three disconnected faults coring actively growing folds along strike at the modern-day northern Apennine mountain front. We show that the faults exhibit variable slip behavior over a 3.0-Myr time period and demonstrate that for most of the time, this variable slip behavior is asynchronous for the different structures along strike, suggesting that the high-frequency deformation variability is not driven by an extrinsic boundary condition change. We conclude that the variability observed on these temporal and spatial scales is consistent with the natural, intrinsic behavior of faults in an orogenic wedge at intermediate time and space scales, and not caused by extrinsic drivers such as tectonic boundary condition or climatic changes.
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