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Abstract

The Subandean fold and thrust belt of Bolivia constitutes the easternmost part of the Andean orogen that reflects thin-skinned shortening and eastward propagation of the Andean deformation front. The exact interplay of tectonics, climate, and erosion in the deposition of up to 7.5 km of late Cenozoic strata exposed in the Subandes remains unclear. To better constrain these relationships, we use four W–E industry seismic reflection profiles, eight new zircon U–Pb ages from Mio-Pliocene sedimentary strata, and cross-section balancing to evaluate the rates of thrust propagation, shortening, and deposition pinch-out migration. Eastward thrusting arrived in the Subandean belt at ~12.4 ± 0.5 Ma and propagated rapidly toward the foreland unit approximately 6 Ma. This was followed by out-of-sequence deformation from ca. 4 to 2.1 Ma and by renewed eastward propagation thereafter. Our results show that the thrust-front propagation and deposition pinch-out migration rates mimic the sediment accumulation rate. The rates of deposition pinch-out migration and thrust propagation increased three- and two fold, respectively (8 mm/a; 3.3 mm/a) at 8–6 Ma. The three-fold increase in deposition pinch-out migration rate at this time is an indication of enhanced erosional efficiency in the hinterland, probably coupled with flexural rebound of the basin. Following the pulse of pinch-out migration, the Subandean belt witnessed rapid ~80 km eastward propagation of thrusting to the La Vertiente structure at 6 Ma. As there is no evidence for this event of thrust front migration being linked to an increase in shortening rate, the enhanced frontal accretion suggests a shift to supercritical wedge taper conditions. We propose that the supercritical state was due to a drop in basal strength, caused by sediment loading and pore fluid overpressure. This scenario implies that climate-controlled variation in erosional efficiency was the driver of late Miocene mass redistribution, which induced flexural rebound of the Subandean thrust belt, spreading of a large clastic wedge across the basin, and subsequent thrust-front propagation.

1. Introduction

The Subandean fold-and-thrust belt of the Central Andes is the type-example of an active thin-skinned fold-and-thrust belt in a retroarc, non-collisional tectonic setting (e.g., Gubbels et al., 1993; Dunn et al., 1995; Kley et al., 1996; Moretti et al., 1996; Echavarria et al., 2003). With a maximum elevation of 1.7 km, this tectonic province is located to the east of the Cordillera Oriental and the Altiplano in the orogen interior. The Subandes are characterized by NNE–SSW oriented thrusting and deeply incised valleys that are connected with the foreland. Many investigations have ascribed shallow crustal shortening in this region directly to the crustal thickening processes that produced the Altiplano (e.g., Isacks, 1988; Gubbels et al., 1993).

The chronology of the clastic sediments preserved within and adjacent to the Subandes furnishes a unique archive about the geodynamic, topographic, and climatic evolution of the Andean tectonic provinces. Furthermore, volcanic ash horizons in the thick synorogenic Subandean strata of Bolivia provide the opportunity to develop a chronology of deformation and depositional processes and to study the interaction between tectonics, climate, and erosion.

To date the study by Echavarria et al. (2003) provides the only well-constrained study concerning the geometry and timing of the Subandean fold-and-thrust-belt in adjacent northwestern Argentina, documenting lowered shortening rate of 0–5 mm/yr between 7 and 2 Ma. In the central Andes, Garzione et al. (2006) and Ghosh et al. (2006) used oxygen isotope data obtained from paleosol carbonates to propose that the northern Altiplano rose to an elevation of ~3900 m between 10.3 and 6.8 as a result of mantle delamination. However, the lack of quantitative data for most of the Subandean region, especially in the north and east of these investigations, has hampered the validation of these models. Surprisingly, despite the fundamental role
attributed to the Subandean belt in conjunction with the evolution of the orogen interior, relatively little is known about the timing and magnitude of deformation in the Subandes. Indeed, a rigorous assessment of timing of deformation, propagation rate of the thrust belt, and the relationship between tectonics and climate-driven processes in shaping the Subandes has been prevented by the lack of a reliable chronostratigraphy and rigorous analyses of the Neogene synorogenic stratigraphic records.

With this study, we aim to unravel and better constrain the onset of thrusting, propagation of deformation, and erosional processes in the Bolivian Subandes. To achieve this, we used 8 new zircon U–Pb age determinations augmented by recently published ages across the belt (Echavarria et al., 2003; Hulka, 2005; Uba et al., 2007), industry seismic reflection profiles, well-log data, and outcrop studies. Our new chronology combined with deposition pinch-out migration and sedimentologic data provides a detailed record of the tectonic evolution of the Subandes in Bolivia. In addition, this study furnishes proxies for past erosional and transport efficiency, and information on the mechanisms governing deformation patterns in this thrust belt.

2. Geological setting and late Cenozoic stratigraphy of the Subandean zone

The central Andean evolution is linked to subduction of the Farallon/Nazca plate under the South American plate. In the course of the non-collisional mountain building in the Andes, under-thrusting...
of the Brazilian Shield under the orogen (e.g., Isacks, 1988; Sempere et al., 1990; Kley et al., 1997) led to widespread and pronounced thrusting in the Eastern Cordillera in the Oligocene; subsequently, deformation migrated eastward into the Subandean region during the late Miocene (Baby et al., 1992; Gubbels et al., 1993; Horton, 1998; Uba et al., 2006; Ege et al., 2007). According to DeCelles and Horton (2003), the Andean foreland fold-and-thrust belt migrated to its present location at rates of 12–20 mm/yr. Most shortening in the central Andes has been concentrated in the Subandes during the last 10 Ma (Gubbels et al., 1993; Moretti et al., 1996; Kley et al., 1999; Echavarria et al., 2003). The growth of the thrust wedge has caused the eastward younging of depozones (DeCelles and Horton, 2003; Uba et al., 2006) and sediment pinch-out migration (Uba et al., 2006).

There are marked changes in morphology from the rugged Interandean zone with its steep-walled, deeply incised valleys to the N–S trending valley-and-ridge topography of the Subandean belt, and finally the low-elevation Chaco plain of the foreland to the east (Fig. 1). The Subandean belt is characterized by thin-skinned, partially blind thrusting and folding (Baby et al., 1992; Dunn et al., 1995; Kley et al., 1996; Horton and DeCelles, 1997; Uba et al., 2006). Coudert et al. (1995) and Echavarria et al. (2003) postulated a three-phase subsidence and deformation history in the Subandean belt beginning at 10 Ma. The estimated total shortening in the Subandes ranges between ca. 60 and 86 km (Baby et al., 1997; Kley et al., 1999; Echavarria et al., 2003).

The late Cenozoic lithostratigraphy of units exposed in the Subandes and the foreland is illustrated in Fig. 2. Sedimentation in these areas started during the late Oligocene, when the Eastern Cordillera was undergoing deformation (Sempere et al., 1990; Uba et al., 2006). The base of the late Cenozoic strata is characterized by the 200-m-thick, 26 to 12.4-m.y.-old Petaca Formation. This unit consists of conglomerate, sandstone, and mudstone that accumulated in a transitional environment between lacustrine, fluvial, and marine settings (Uba et al., 2005, 2006). U–Pb dating of a tuff at the base of the Yecua Formation provided an age of 12.4 Ma ± 0.5 (Uba et al., 2007). The Yecua Formation is over lain by the up to 3000-m-thick Tariquia Formation, which is 8 to 6 m.y. old. This sandstone- and mudstone-dominated formation represents deposition in a distal fluvial megafan. These deposits grade into the up to 1500-m-thick Guandacay Formation. The 5.94–2.1 m.y.-old Guandacay Formation consists of sandstone, conglomerate, and subordinate mudstone deposited in a medial fluvial megafan environment (Uba et al., 2005, 2006). This late Cenozoic succession is capped by the more than 1500-m-thick, 2.1 Ma to Present Emborozú Formation, which is composed of conglomerate, sandstone, and sandy mudstone deposited in a proximal fluvial megafan environment (Uba et al., 2005).

3. Methods and results

3.1. U–Pb geochronology and Neogene chronostratigraphy

We dated eight volcanic ashes interbedded in the Mio-Pliocene strata (Yecua, Tariquia, Guandacay, and Emborozú formations) in the central Subandean zone. The volcanic ash samples were obtained from the Emborozú, Nogalitos, San Telmo, and Chiquiaca sections (Fig. 1 shows location). These sections are located west of the dated stratigraphic profiles of Uba et al. (2007). The zircon samples were dated with U–Pb geochronology by secondary ionization mass spectrometry (SIMS, ion microprobe) using the Cameca ims 1270 at UCLA. For each of the samples, the ashes were crushed, sieved and the zircons were separated using standard heavy-liquid procedures and magnetic separation techniques.

About 10–20 zircons per sample were handpicked and placed on epoxy mounts, which were then polished and gold-coated for analysis. A ~15 nA O− primary beam with 22.5 keV total impact energy was focused to a ~25 µm diameter spot. Secondary ions were extracted at 10 kV with an energy band pass of 50 eV. O2 pressure in the sample chamber was adjusted to ~0.002 Pa, which increased Pb sensitivity by ~50%. In four analytical sessions between August 2006 and November 2007 the reproducibility of 206Pb/238U ages of reference
Fig. 3. A summarized Tera-Wasserburg plots showing our new 8 U–Pb radiometric ages recorded in the Yecua, Tariquia, Guandacay, and Emborozú formations at the Emborozú (Emb 01, 04, 05), Nogalitos (Emb 08, 11), San Telmo (ST 01, 03), and Chiquiaca (Chq 01) sections.
Fig. 4. Correlated SW–NE-oriented measured stratigraphic profiles showing in each column the location of the U–Pb ages used in this study and correlated well logs. The figure shows westerly diachronous pattern for the Neogene sedimentation. Furthermore, it shows thickening- and coarsening upward trend, westward increase in sandstone and conglomerate proportion, upsection and westward decrease in mudstone proportion.
Fig. 5. (A) Interpreted W–E reflection seismic profile (see Fig. 1 for location) showing deposition pinch-out, onlap, and downlap reflection terminations of base Petaca (red line), base Yecua (orange line), base Tariquía (yellow line), base Guandacay (green line), and base Emborozú (white line) formations on the Alto de Izozog. In contrast, the pre-Mesozoic successions do not show reflection terminations on this structure. The figure shows the estimated amount and rates of deposition pinch-out migration for the three intervals namely, 12.4–8 Ma (Yecua Fm.), 8–6 Ma (Tariquía Fm.), and 6–2.1 Ma (Guandacay Fm). The ages used to constrain the bases of the formations are from the nearest U–Pb ages at the Angosto de Pilcomayo section. (B) Interpreted W–E reflection seismic profile showing the structural styles and growth structure as a result of La Vertiente Fault documented by onlap terminations of Guandacay strata.
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Lithologic control for the seismic stratigraphic pinch-outs onto the western

3.2. Depositional pinch-out migration

sandstone lies more than 1100 m above the base of a lateral equivalent contrast, the 10.5±0.5 Ma ash interbedded in coarse-grained was subjected to eastward propagation of deformation at that time. In the deposition of these strata may have taken place in a piggy-back west, from where clasts were transported into the system. Possibly, the western Subandean basin was adjacent to elevated areas farther analysis (Uba et al., 2005, 2006), this suggests that during this time Uba et al., 2005, 2006). Combined with the sedimentologic and basin published radiometric ages in the study area (Uba et al., 2007). The ages help better de

termination of all Neogene strata with the exception of the upper part of the younger Emborozú Formation (Fig. 5A and Data Repository in Appendix A). Consequently, the Izozog High has been a stationary ridge since pre-Mesozoic time that cannot be explained in terms of uplift in the forebulge area. This led Uba et al. (2006) to suggest that it cannot have originated as a Neogene flexural forebulge, although it was probably somewhat accentuated by flexural uplift. This is indicated by the slight westward dip of the Neogene units. Our pinch-out migration estimates thus reflect foreland basin subsidence, but not the free migration rate of an ideal, flexural wave (DeCelles and DeCelles, 2001).

The deposition pinch-out migration rates for the Yecua (12.4 to 8 Ma), Tariquia (8 to 6 Ma), and Guandacay (6 to 2.1 Ma) formations do not vary much between the three N–S seismic profiles (Fig. 5A and Data Repository in Appendix A). However, in each profile the rates vary upsection. Each section begins with an initial low pinch-out migration rate of 3 mm/a between 12.4 and 7.9 Ma, followed by an almost three-fold increase to 8 mm/a during the 7.9 to 5.9 Ma interval, and finally a decrease to 6 mm/a between 5.9 and 2.1 Ma (Table 1A).

Earlier pinch-out migration rates for more internal areas of the Subandean foreland basin can be estimated from our new age data in the western Subandean. The base of the Tariquia formation migrates from the Emborozú section to the Puesto Salvación section between ca. 10 and 8 Ma (Fig. 4), and the base of the Guandacay Formation migrates from the Emborozú section to the Angosto del Pilcomayo section between 8.5 and 5.9 Ma. With original distances measured from restored cross-sections (see below) and not taking into account possible along-strike age variations, this corresponds to migration rates of ca. 37 mm/a for the Tariquia and ca. 28 mm/a for the Guandacay formations. These rates are substantially faster than those measured farther east from the seismic lines, but agree with regards to indicating an exceptionally high pinch-out migration rate for the strata associated with the Tariquia Formation.

3.3. Rates of thrust-front propagation and shortening

Propagation of a thrust front toward the foreland can be estimated from stepwise-restored cross-sections if the nucleation ages of

<p>| Table 1A |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Pinch-out distance (km)</th>
<th>Estimated age of the basal (Ma)</th>
<th>Migration rate (mm/a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Line 5013</td>
<td>5.9–21</td>
<td>Guandacay</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9–5.9</td>
<td>Tariquia</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.4–7.9</td>
<td>Yecua</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 4568</td>
<td>5.9–21</td>
<td>Guandacay</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9–5.9</td>
<td>Tariquia</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.4–7.9</td>
<td>Yecua</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 4506</td>
<td>5.9–21</td>
<td>Guandacay</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9–5.9</td>
<td>Tariquia</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.4–7.9</td>
<td>Yecua</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
individual thrusts are known. Balanced cross-sections of the Subandean transect discussed here (c. 21° 20' S latitude) were published by Dunn et al. (1995) and Moretti et al. (1996). Both interpretations are based on essentially the same geological map and some industry seismic data, although the work by Dunn et al. (1995) includes a more complete documentation of the database. Both studies show very similar near-surface structure, but different architecture at depth. Bulk Subandean shortening of the two interpretations is 61 km and 78 km, respectively, providing some indication of the error of these shortening estimates. An important structural feature of the section of Dunn et al. (1995) is a second detachment in Devonian shales above the basal detachment in Silurian strata. The second detachment links adjacent structures, and the restoration requires at least two major thrust faults to have been active simultaneously at any time. However, Echavarria et al.'s (2003) interpretation of corresponding structures some 140 km farther south demonstrates that this is not a necessary assumption. Also, at 60 km their shortening value is practically identical. We therefore simplified the deep structure of Dunn et al.'s (1995) cross-section to be more in line with Echavarria et al.'s (2003) section near 22°30'S. We then used this section to measure line lengths with the relatively shallow Carboniferous interval as a marker and constructed a step-by-step evolution. However, as the details of the deep structure are of no importance for the problems discussed here and no new data were available, we made no effort to perfectly balance line lengths and areas at depth.

We used the new age results from this study in combination with published U–Pb and Ar–Ar ages for the Yecua, Tariquia, and Guandacay formations (Uba et al., 2007) and the Emborozú Formation (Hulka, 2005) to constrain the timing of deformation and thus, estimate the rates of propagation and shortening. We used the onset of the deposition of cobble–boulder conglomerates and growth structures (Uba et al., 2006) at the Emborozú and San Telmo sections to indicate the transition from a foredeep to a wedge-top environment and the onset of local deformation. Due to the still localized age control, we can only pinpoint the initiation ages of the Western Subandes and the Mandiyuti, La Vertiente and Aguaraqué structures.

Fig. 6. Structural evolution scheme of the Subandean thrust belt and associated foreland deposits in southern Bolivia. Near-surface structure is after Dunn et al. (1995). The onset of deformation on individual structures is taken from sedimentological and new geochronologic evidence as discussed in the text. Further evolution of the anticlines is partially constrained by conformable strata on their backlimbs. Notice forelandward shift of deformation front to the La Vertiente structure around 5.5 Ma, followed by retreat and renewed propagation from around 2 Ma. The Carboniferous to Triassic interval is shown as a structural marker (grey). Deep structure is simplified from Dunn et al. (1995) and not strictly balanced.
We commenced our structural model at 12.4 Ma based on the well constrained age of the base of the Yecua Formation in the Pilcomayo section (Fig. 6). Older units are poorly constrained. The onset of the La Vertiente structure is well constrained by the 5.9 (~6) Ma volcanic ash at the base of the Guandacay Formation coinciding with the initiation of growth strata (Fig. 5B). Age estimates for the remaining structures were made by interpolation. On a time vs. propagation distance plot, propagation rate curves were determined from the well-dated structures (Fig. 7). Ages for specific propagation distance values corresponding to particular structures were then read off these curves. This exercise suggests a scenario with two accelerating propagation pulses: the first migrated from the Western Subandes to the well-dated La Vertiente structure between 12.4 and c. 6 Ma, and a second one started west of the older thrust front and migrated from the San Antonio structure to the present deformation front at Mandeyapecua between ca. 4 and <2 Ma (Fig. 6 and Table 1B).

Determining variations in the shortening rate of the Subandean belt remains difficult despite improved chronologic and stratigraphic control of the foreland-basin succession. The major problem is that the termination of activity on each structure is in most cases only poorly constrained by the youngest strata involved. This allows for a wide range of rate estimates, particularly for the formation of the older structures. Echavarria et al. (2003) therefore calculated two end-member models: one for a minimum and one for a maximum duration of activity for each thrust, resulting in two shortening peaks or steadily accelerating shortening, respectively. They preferred the two-peak model because it agrees with the two-peak subsidence model of Coudert et al. (1995). Our dataset, which has better radiometric age control, but is less continuous than the magnetostratigraphic record of Echavarria et al. (2003), however, does not provide better upper age limits for the activity of individual structures.

We have therefore combined all available data on the diachronous formation and facies migration, shortening magnitudes, growth strata and the present-day GPS-based shortening rate to constrain a stepwise forward model of our cross-section (Fig. 6). The number of time steps warranted by the data is limited, and there is some uncertainty as to their exact ages. For some structures, part of the shortening could also be shifted to another time step. This is why the absolute values of the shortening rates given in Figs. 6 and 8 should be viewed with care. However, one important constraint comes from the conformable successions on the backlimbs of most structures. Unless we assume that all Subandean deformation is younger than the Tariquía or even Guandacay formations (i.e. ~ < 6 Ma), the implication is that originally present growth sections were uplifted and eroded during later, continuous or intermittent deformation (Fig. 6). Scenarios where all structures remain active throughout the evolution of the Subandean belt tend to predict (sub-)recent shortening rates much higher than measured today. Thus, a two-pulse pattern with an intervening phase of low shortening rates similar to those proposed by Moretti et al. (1996) and Echavarria et al. (2003) is favorable. In any case, there is no indication of particularly high shortening rates in the 8–6 Ma interval.

In summary, our reconstruction suggests that the upsection variation in shortening rates does not mimic the variation in thrust front propagation- and deposition migration rates in the Subandes. This observation points to additional, non-tectonic processes.

### Table 1B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Distance from reference point (km)</th>
<th>Distance propagated in this time step (km)</th>
<th>Time lapse (Ma)</th>
<th>Propagation rate (km/Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sama</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interandean</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western SA</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pescado</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aguarrangi</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandeyapecua</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Vertiente</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>88.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Comparison of thrust front propagation (thin lines) and facies/deposition pinch–out migration rates in our transect and another one further south (Echavarria et al., 2003). Numbers next to structure names indicate structural correlation between the two transects. Our data suggests two pulses of thrust front propagation at rates similar to coeval facies/pinch out migration. Linear pinch–out migration rates calculated from seismic reflector terminations in the foreland (top) and from dated formation boundaries in the Subandean sections (circles) are shown. Thick, dashed curves show pinch–out migration histories for the Tariquía (left), Guandacay (center), and Emborozú (right) formations as inferred from interpolation of the Subandean and foreland rates.
controlling the evolution of the Subandean thrust belt. The strongest difference is observed at 8–6 Ma, when the rates of pinch-out migration and thrust front propagation are almost four- and two-fold the shortening rate.

4. Discussion and conclusions

4.1. Comparison of thrust-front propagation with the Subandes of Argentina

We compare our thrust-front propagation estimate to published data from northwestern Argentina (Echavarria et al., 2003) in the south, which reveals some differences (Fig. 7). The southern transect (Echavarria et al., 2003) has a very regular sequence of fault nucleation with the thrust wedge growing to a length of 86 km from ca. 9 to 2.7 Ma. In our transect, the details of eastward propagation are not as well documented. However, growth strata associated with the La Vertiente structure demonstrate that the wedge had already attained a length of 88 km by ~6 Ma. This propagation pulse and the following westward shift of the active thrust front are not recorded in the southern transect. This may be due to the fact that the La Vertiente structure does not extend much farther to the south and structures east of the Aguaragüe anticline were not included in the analysis of Echavarria et al. (2003). During the first phase of thrust propagation, there is a systematic time lag of ca. 1.5 Ma in the onset of thrusting on the southern transect. In general, some time lag seems to exist for the nucleation of the younger, more easterly structures.

With regards to shortening rates, Echavarria et al. (2003) proposed two rapid shortening pulses around 9–7 Ma and during the ultimate 2 Ma, separated by a period of low shortening rates between 7 and 2 Ma. Our results also suggest two shortening pulses, but with a shift of the two-peak pattern to a higher age by 2–4 Ma, and a longer duration of the younger pulse. These substantial differences emphasize that data from one transect cannot be simply extrapolated to other sectors within the same morphotectonic province of the Andean orogen. An important observation is that neither estimate has a shortening pulse coinciding with the rapid advance of deposition between 8 and 6 Ma.

4.2. Timing of earliest deformation in the Subandean ranges

Gubbels et al. (1993) used the ~10 Ma age of the San Juan del Oro erosional surface in the Eastern Cordillera to date the end of thrusting in the Eastern Cordillera and the subsequent onset of activity along the deformation front in the Subandean zone. In contrast, Echavarria et al. (2003) interpreted the rapid increase in sedimentation rate at ~9.9 Ma to indicate the onset of deformation in the Subandes. The age used by Echavarria et al. (2003) was from a volcanic ash ~1200 m above the top of the Tranquitas Formation. Marshall and Sempere (1991) and Uba et al. (2006) related the onset of deformation in the Subandean zone to the commencement of the deposition of the Yecua Formation based on biostratigraphic and sedimentologic studies. However, McQuarrie et al. (2005) invoke the cessation of significant shortening and thickening related to motion on an extensive basement megathrust within the Interandean zone at ca. 20 Ma to suggest a pre-13 Ma onset of deformation within the Subandean belt. This interpretation of an earlier onset of tectonism is further supported by apatite...
fission track ages (Ege, 2004; Ege et al., 2007) and structural studies aided by radiometric dating (Horton, 2005).

Notwithstanding the above controversy on timing, it is generally agreed that the initiation of deformation within the Subandean zone was coeval with the onset of deposition of the Yecua Formation (e.g., Marshall and Semperè, 1991; Baby et al., 1992; Marshall et al., 1993; Gubbels et al., 1993; Dunn et al., 1995; Kley et al., 1997; Uba et al., 2005, 2006). The correlation of the onset of deformation in the Subandean with commencement of Yecua sedimentation is based on an increase in shortening rate (Gubbels et al., 1993; Moretti et al., 1996; Echavarria et al., 2003), high subsidence rate, and creation of accommodation space (Coudert et al., 1995; Uba et al., 2006). In addition, the deposition of marine–lacustrine facies (Uba et al., 2005, 2006), rapid cooling based on apatite fission-track analysis (Ege, 2004), and a low-angle unconformity over the underlying Petaca Formation (Uba et al., 2006) have been used to infer the onset of deformation and the contemporaneous deposition of the Yecua Formation. In light of our new radiometric age of 12.4 Ma at the base of the Yecua Formation in the Angosto del Pilcomayo section and 10.5 Ma in the upper part of the same unit in the Emborozú section, we are able to better constrain the onset of deformation in the western Subandean zone to 12.4 Ma. This correlates with rapid increases in the shortening and subsidence rates as demonstrated in our study, which is compatible with the creation of accommodation space for the coeval deposition of lacustrine and shallow marine units.

Subsequently, thrusting was mostly transferred to the central Subandean zone in the Mandiyuti range at 8.6 Ma (Fig. 6), as reflected by the Chiquiaca and Emborozú sections. Our data shows that this period with a low shortening rate was followed by a rapid increase in wedge propagation to the La Vertiente structure at 6 Ma (Fig. 6). This marks the onset of the second major phase of thrusting. However, most of the deformation was apparently accommodated by out-of-sequence thrusting on the San Antonio and Aguaragüe structures (Fig. 6). This agrees with similar observations in northeastern Argentina (Echavarria et al., 2003).

4.3. Erosion and evolution of topography in the Subandean

A faster sediment accumulation of 0.63 mm/a at 8–6 Ma after a protracted episode with low rates on the order of 0.13 mm/a between approximately 12.4 and 8.6 Ma has been documented in the Subandean and was attributed to an intensification of the South American monsoon system and associated climate variability (Uba et al., 2007). In that scenario a shift toward higher precipitation would have caused higher stream competency and increased erosion, sediment transport, and sediment supply to the basin. Sediment accumulation rates are primarily a proxy for subsidence and the creation of accommodation space. However, the increased sediment accumulation rate between 8 and 6 Ma coincided with changes in fluvial depositional styles from small rivers to fluvial megafans with frequent avulsion, channel abandonment, and progradational sequences (Uba et al., 2005), suggesting that sediment supply kept up with the increased subsidence rate. Based on these premises, the central Andes in our transect probably witnessed an up to four-fold increase in erosion between 8 and 6 Ma. In addition, a climate-driven increase in erosive processes is in line with thermochronologic studies (e.g., Ege, 2004; Barnes et al., 2006), suggesting rapid exhumation in the Interandean and the Subandean belt since the late Miocene. At 6 Ma, the accommodation space was largely filled due to high sediment accumulation during the preceding period, resulting in increased bypassing of sediment during the deposition of the Guandacay Formation between 6 and 2.1 Ma (Uba et al., 2005).

Paleoclimatic studies suggest that precipitation in the Eastern Cordillera decreased in the last 10 Ma (e.g., Graham et al., 2001). Reduced precipitation in the Eastern Cordillera and coeval rapid erosion in the Interandean and probably western Subandean zone since 8 Ma are compatible with the creation of an orographic barrier in the Eastern Cordillera after it had attained sufficient elevation to cause a rain shadow, similar to observations in the Eastern Cordillera of northwestern Argentina (Starck and Anzótegui, 2001; Kleinert and Streck, 2001; Streck et al., 2007). This situation suggests that rainfall related to the South American monsoon exerted a strong control on surface erosion processes that began to effectively incise and erode the thrust belt, evacuating sediments into the foreland basin.

Low shortening and subsidence rates at 8 to 6 Ma in the study area (this study; Coudert et al., 1995; Echavarria et al., 2003) are evidence for only minor deformation, thus suggesting that thrusting activity in the Interandean and western Subandean zone between 12.4 and 8 Ma should have led to the development of an efficient orographic barrier by ~8 Ma and the formation of the San Juan del Oro erosion surface (e.g., Baby et al., 1992; Gubbels et al., 1993; Kley et al., 1997).

4.4. Implications for central Andean geodynamics

The Neogene sedimentary units in the Subandean of Bolivia reflect wedge propagation, shortening, deposition pinch-out migration, and a migration of erosion patterns in the Subandean fold and thrust belt. Our study sheds some light on the possible mechanism(s) controlling the evolution of the central Andes. Fig. 8 shows a compilation of propagation, deposition pinch-out migration, and shortening estimates, documenting that between 12.4 and 8 Ma the fold and thrust belt witnessed an increase in propagation- and shortening rates, but with low rates of pinch-out migration and sediment accumulation. These are indications of enhanced deformation and development of topography in the Interandean and western Subandean zones. Topographic growth is further supported by geomorphic data along the same transect to the west, documenting surface uplift of ca. 1700 m during this time (Barke and Lamb, 2006).

Surface uplift suggests crustal thickening in the Interandean and probably western Subandean zones. Crustal thickening induced subsidence in the eastern Subandes (Coudert et al., 1995; Echavarria et al., 2003) that probably outpaced the ability of the regional depositional systems to fill the accommodation space created during this time. Thrusting was accompanied by low deposition pinch-out migration- and accumulation rates. This implies high flexural loading of the Brazilian Shield and the Subandean basin, and suggests an important phase of forelandward growth of the Subandean ranges in the interval between 12.4 and 8 Ma.

In contrast, between 8 and 6 Ma, our data indicates an increase in the rates of deposition pinch-out migration, propagation, and sediment accumulation. This was accompanied by a low shortening rate or at least not by a discrete shortening pulse (Fig. 8). This time interval is also marked by a rapid increase in erosion and transport efficiency. We interpret this increase in sediment flux to be the result of enhanced precipitation of the South American monsoon driving erosional unloading of the internal parts of the fold-and-thrust belt, which exceeded the volume of rock advected by crustal thickening. We hypothesize that the unloading observed in the Subandes between 8 and 6 Ma probably caused flexural rebound of the thrust belt and lateral expansion of the foreland basin, similar to modelling results by Flemings and Jordan (1990). DeCelles and Mitra (1995), Willett (1999), Watts (2001), and Whipple and Meade (2006) have shown that removal of mass due to enhanced erosion would be regionally compensated by flexural uplift of the underlying plate, leading to flexural rebound. In addition, strikingly similar erosion-induced mass reduction and flexural rebound have been reported from the Alps (Schlüenwegger and Simpson, 2002; Cederbom et al., 2004) and the Himalaya (Huynhe et al., 2001). For example, Huynhe et al. (2001) have shown that outward migration of deposition pinch-out in the Himalayan foreland could record the foreland-ward motion of the thrust wedge or flexural rebound of the
thrust belt as a result of erosion-induced reduction in topography. The coincidence of the three-fold increase in deposition pinch-out migration rate in the Subandes between 8 and 6 Ma coupled with a four-fold increase in sediment accumulation rate suggests close relationship between subsidence and eastward migration of deposition pinch-outs in the foreland.

In our transect, the progradation of the fluvial megafan is followed by rapid propagation of the thrust front to the well-dated La Vertiente structure. However, the shortening accommodating on this thrust front is very low, and the focus of activity shifted to more internal structures shortly after its initiation. This suggests a short-lived transition of the thrust wedge to an overcritical state, permitting rapid frontal accretion, followed by a return to (sub)critical conditions and internal shortening. The most likely cause for such an event is a transient reduction in basal strength, probably resulting from increased pore fluid pressure associated with burial of the detachment under the load of the prograding clastic wedge. The transient nature of the La Vertiente front is illustrated by the fact that it was bypassed with no sign of reactivation when thrust-front propagation toward the foreland resumed (Fig. 6). An overcritical state of the thrust wedge could also be explained by overall outward tilting of the thrust belt, as would be expected from the proposed rapid rise of the adjacent Altiplano plateau in the orogen interior (e.g., Garzione et al., 2006; Gosh et al., 2006). In such a scenario, the overcritical state would be the result of the decrease in basal dip and increase in surface slope. However, the short duration of the overcritical phase and the direct transition to an under-critical state argue against such an interpretation.
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